

Academic Principles and Regulations

Section G: Regulations for Research Awards of the University

August 2015

Approved by Academic Board July 2011, November 2011, July 2013, July 2014 and July 2015



Contents

SECTION G: REGULATIONS FOR RESEARCH AWARDS OF THE UNIVERSITY	1
G1 RESEARCH AWARDS.....	1
G1.1 Research Awards of the University.....	1
G1.2 Other Research Awards.....	1
G1.3 Field of Study	1
G2 RESEARCH AWARDS: REQUIREMENTS.....	1
G2.1 Conditions of Award	1
G2.2 Masters by Research (MRes)	1
G2.3 Masters Titles.....	1
G2.4 Masters: Certificate of Award.....	1
G2.5 Masters: Use of Designatory Letters	1
G2.6 Master of Philosophy (MPhil)	1
G2.7 Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)	2
G2.8 PhD by Existing Published Work	2
G2.9 Professional Doctorate.....	2
G2.10 Doctor of Education (EdD)	2
G2.11 Doctor of Engineering (DEng).....	2
G2.12 Doctor of Business Administration (DBA)	2
G2.13 Professional Doctorate (DProf)	2
G2.14 Higher Doctorates	2
G3 RESEARCH AWARDS: GENERAL PROVISIONS	3
G3.1 Registration of Candidates.....	3
G3.1.1 Mode of Study	3
G3.1.2 Off Shore Study Option (MRes, MPhil, PhD, and Professional Doctorates)	3
G3.1.3 Periods of Registration	3
G3.1.4 Duration of Study – Submission Prior to Expiry of Minimum Period of Registration 3	
G3.1.5 Changes to a Candidate's Period of Registration	4
G3.1.6 Candidates transferring from another institution – Periods of Registration.....	4
G3.1.7 Annual Progression and Continuation of a Candidate's Registration	4
G3.1.8 Duration of Study – Application to exceed maximum period of registration.....	4
G3.1.9 Externally-funded candidates – Duration of Study	4
G3.1.10 Suspension of Registration.....	4
G3.1.11 Withdrawal of Registration.....	4
G3.1.12 Registration and Confirmation of Registration.....	4
G3.1.13 Transfer of Registration	5
G3.2 Fees for Research Candidates	5
G3.2.1 Fees.....	5
G3.2.2 Candidate entitlement on payment of fees.....	5
G3.2.3 Writing-up Fee – MPhil, PhD only	5
G3.2.4 Writing-up Fee and Periods of Registration	5

G3.3	Equality and Diversity: Reasonable Adjustments	5
G3.3.1	Consideration of Adjustments.....	5
G4	ANNUAL REPORTING.....	5
G4.1	Process	5
	The Research Degree Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will receive reports on research students' progress, data and issues relating to research degree programmes.	5
G5	EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES.....	6
G5.1	Fit to Sit/Submit Principle.....	6
G5.2	Progression	6
G5.3	Early Notification	6
G5.4	Extenuating Circumstances - Examination and Outcomes	6
G5.5	Research Degrees with Structured Learning	6
G5.6	Consideration of Extenuating Circumstances	6
G6	APPEAL PROVISIONS	6
G6.1	Regulations and Procedures for Appeal	6
G6.2	Application.....	6
G7	RESEARCH MISCONDUCT AND UNFAIR PRACTICE	7
G7.1	Investigating Research Misconduct and Unfair Practice	7
G8	CONFIDENTIALITY AND PRESENTATION OF WORK.....	7
G8.1	Confidentiality of Theses.....	7
G8.2	Form of Presentation	7
G8.3	Creative Work	7
G8.4	Scholarly Editions.....	7
G9	CO-OPERATION WITH OTHER ORGANISATIONS.....	8
G9.1	Co-operation with Other Organisations	8
G9.2	Co-operating Organisations	8
G9.3	Details of Agreement	8
G9.4	Academic Independence	8
G10	ADMISSION.....	8
G10.1	Admission & Entry Qualifications	8
G10.1.1	Admission	8
G10.1.2	Evidence of Qualifications	8
G10.1.3	MRes, MPhil, PhD, PhD by Existing Published Work	8
G10.1.4	Professional Doctorates	9
G10.1.5	Non-standard Entry Qualifications.....	9
G10.1.6	English Language Qualification	9
G10.1.7	Transfer of Registration from another Institution	9
G10.2	Consideration and Determination of Application.....	9
G10.2.1	Application for Admission	9
G10.2.2	Reference to relevant external legislation and policies	9
G10.2.3	Group Projects.....	9

G10.2.4	Research Projects substantially undertaken outside the University	10
G10.2.5	Research Training Programme	10
G10.2.6	Exemption from the Research Training Programme	10
G10.2.7	Decision on an Application	10
G10.2.8	Registration	10
G11	SUPERVISION OF RESEARCH.....	10
G11.1	Supervision of Research	10
G11.2	Appointment of Supervisory Team.....	10
G11.3	Supervisory Team: staff development	10
G11.4	Advisors	11
G11.5	Staff ineligible to act as Research Supervisors	11
G11.6	Change in Supervisory Arrangements	11
G12	COMMENCEMENT OF STUDY.....	11
G12.1	Candidates	11
G12.2	Supervisory team	11
G12.3	Ethical Approval of Research Programme.....	11
G12.4	Health and Safety: Risk Assessment.....	11
G12.5	Data Protection Act 1988	11
G12.6	Intellectual Property Provisions.....	12
G12.7	Collaborating Institutions or Organisations	12
G13	PROGRESSION.....	12
G13.1	Progression	12
G13.2	Annual Progression.....	12
G13.3	Annual Progression: Process.....	12
G13.4	Annual Progression Panels.....	12
G13.5	Annual Progression: Outcomes	12
G13.6	Annual Progression: Review of Written Warnings.....	12
G13.7	Appeal	13
G14	TRANSFER OF REGISTRATION	13
G14.1	Transfer of Registration - Higher Award	13
G14.2	Transfer of Registration – Lower Award	13
G14.3	Timescales	13
G14.4	Process	13
G14.5	Appeal	13
G15	CONFIRMATION OF REGISTRATION.....	13
G15.1	Confirmation of Research Award	13
G15.2	Purpose and Requirements of Confirmation of Registration	13
G15.3	Timescales	13
G15.4	Outcomes.....	14
G15.5	Appeal	14
G16	ELIGIBILITY AND SUBMISSION FOR EXAMINATION	14
G16.1	Eligibility for Examination	14

G16.1.1	Research Training Programme – Research Awards.....	14
G16.1.2	Research Training and Contextual Study – Professional Doctorate	14
G16.1.3	Confirmation of completion of Research Training Programme	14
G16.1.4	Submission at the Discretion of the Candidate	14
G16.1.5	Mock Viva	14
G16.1.6	Compliance with University Requirements.....	14
G16.1.7	Examination Arrangements and contact with External Examiner(s)	15
G16.1.8	Candidate's Declaration	15
G16.1.9	Format of the Thesis and Language of Submission.....	15
G16.2	The Thesis: Submission, Deposit and Confidentiality.....	15
G16.2.1	Post-Examination: Submission of copies of final text to the University.....	15
G16.2.2	Deposit in Library.....	15
G16.2.3	Confidentiality: restriction of access.....	15
G16.2.4	University Property	16
G17	EXAMINATION PROVISIONS	16
G17.1	Examinations: General Provisions	16
G17.1.1	Proper Conduct of Examinations.....	16
G17.1.2	Action on Irregularities	16
G17.1.3	Research Degrees Sub-Committee	16
G17.1.4	Contact with Examiners: prior to examination	16
G17.1.5	Authority to Decide the Outcome of an Examination	16
G17.1.6	Posthumous Awards.....	16
G17.2	Examiners	16
G17.2.1	Number of Examiners.....	16
G17.2.2	External Examiners	17
G17.2.3	External Examiners: independence.....	17
G17.2.4	Requirement for Second External Examiner	17
G17.2.5	Internal Examiners.....	17
G17.2.6	Ineligibility to act as Examiner	17
G17.2.7	Independent Chairs	17
G18	EXAMINATION.....	17
G18.1	Form of Examination	17
G18.1.1	MRes	17
G18.1.2	MPhil, PhD, Professional Doctorate	17
G18.1.3	MPhil, PhD, Professional Doctorate: Oral Examination	18
G18.1.4	Oral Examination: Supervisors and Advisors	18
G18.1.5	Oral Examination: Timing	18
G18.2	Re-examination.....	18
G18.2.1	Number and timescales - One Re-examination	18
G18.2.2	Information to Candidates	18
G18.2.3	Timescale for Re-examination.....	18
G18.2.4	Extension of the Timescale for Re-examination.....	18

G19	EXAMINATION PROCEDURES	18
G19.1	Examination for the awards of MPhil, PhD and Professional Doctorate	18
G19.1.1	Preliminary Assessment Report	18
G19.1.2	Status of this documentation – Preliminary Assessment Report	18
G19.1.3	Further Examination in addition to Oral Examination	19
G19.1.4	Examiners’ Decisions	19
G19.1.5	Available Outcomes	19
G19.1.6	Recommendations where the Examiners are not in agreement	19
G19.1.7	Course of Action open to the University	19
G19.1.8	Additional External Examiner	20
G19.2	Re-examination for the awards of MPhil, PhD and Professional Doctorate	20
G19.2.1	Application	20
G19.2.2	Responsibility of the candidate	20
G19.2.3	Re-examination process	20
G19.2.4	Available Outcomes	20
G19.2.5	Appeal	20
G20	EXAMINATION FOR AWARD OF MRes	20
G20.1	Application	20
G20.2	Meeting between Examiners	21
G20.3	Oral Examination	21
G20.4	MRes Examination: Outcomes	21
G20.5	MRes Examination: Re-Assessment Outcomes	21
G21	RECOMMENDATION FOR AN AWARD OF THE UNIVERSITY	21
G21.1	Recommendation for a Research Award of the University	21
G21.2	Confirmation of Completion of Minor Amendments	21
G21.3	Documentation presented to the Chair of University Research and Enterprise Committee	21
G21.4	Formal Progressing of the Recommendation for an award	21
G21.5	Date of Conferment	21
G22	DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY BY EXISTING PUBLISHED WORK	22
G22.1	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS	22
G22.1.1	General Provisions	22
G22.1.2	General Requirements	22
G22.1.3	Eligibility	22
G22.1.4	Application	22
G22.1.5	Research Standing	22
G22.1.6	Indicative Scope of Submission	22
G22.1.7	Candidate’s Declaration	23
G22.1.8	Language of Submission	23
G22.1.9	Requirements in relation to Publications	23
G22.2	APPLICATION PROCESS	23
G22.2.1	Application Process	23

G22.2.2	Information Required on Application	23
G22.3	CONFIRMATION OF REGISTRATION – PhD BY EXISTING PUBLISHED WORK	23
G22.3.1	Purpose	23
G22.3.2	Interview Stage	23
G22.3.3	Appeal.....	23
G22.3.4	Format of the Synopsis.....	24
G22.4	REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBMISSION.....	24
G22.4.1	Contents of Submission.....	24
G22.4.2	Requirements in relation to Publications	24
G22.4.3	Collaborative Research	24
G22.5	FINAL SUBMISSION	24
G22.5.1	Final Submission	24
G22.6	THE EXAMINATION PROCESS	24
G22.6.1	The Examination Process.....	24
G22.6.2	Assessment of the Submission	24
G22.6.3	Available Decisions	25
G22.6.4	Additional Material in portfolio	25
G22.6.5	Re-examination Outcomes	25
G22.6.6	Appeal.....	25
G23	HIGHER DOCTORATES.....	25
G23.1	HIGHER DOCTORATES: GENERAL PROVISIONS	25
G23.1.1	Award of Higher Doctorates	25
G23.1.2	Consideration of Applications	25
G23.2	APPLICANTS.....	25
G23.2.1	Applicants	25
G23.2.2	First Degree	25
G23.2.3	Higher Degree	25
G23.3	APPLICATIONS	26
G23.3.1	Eligibility	26
G23.3.2	Criteria for Consideration.....	26
G23.3.3	Submission to the University	26
G23.3.4	Form of Submission.....	26
G23.3.5	Presentation of Submission.....	26
G23.3.6	Title Page.....	26
G23.3.7	Submission for any other Academic Award.....	26
G23.3.8	Applicant's statement	26
G23.3.9	English Language	26
G23.3.10	Fees.....	26
G23.4	ASSESSMENT OF THE SUBMISSION	27
G23.4.1	Process of Assessment.....	27
G23.4.2	Assessment Panel within the University.....	27
G23.4.3	External Examination.....	27

G23.4.4	Action in Case of Disagreement	27
G23.5	DECISION ON AN AWARD	27
G23.5.1	University Research and Enterprise Committee	27
G23.5.2	Confirmation of the Degree	27

SECTION G: REGULATIONS FOR RESEARCH AWARDS OF THE UNIVERSITY

G1 RESEARCH AWARDS

G1.1 Research Awards of the University

The University makes the following awards to registered candidates who have successfully completed approved programmes of supervised research:

- Master of Research (MRes)
- Master of Philosophy (MPhil)
- Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)
- Professional Doctorate (which includes DBA, D Eng and Ed D).

G1.2 Other Research Awards

The University also makes the following awards subject to the fulfilment of the specific requirements of the award:

- PhD by Existing Published work
- Higher Doctorates

G1.3 Field of Study

Programmes of research may be proposed in any field of study subject to the following requirements:

- That the proposed programme is capable of leading to scholarly research
- That it can be presented for assessment by appropriate examiners
- That a suitable supervisory team can be formed.

G2 RESEARCH AWARDS: REQUIREMENTS

G2.1 Conditions of Award

An award of the University will be conferred when the following conditions are satisfied:

(A) Registration, Fees and Financial Liabilities

The candidate is a registered student for an award and payment of all the appropriate tuition and other relevant fees and outstanding financial liabilities has been made.

(B) Completion of Programme

The candidate has completed an approved programme of research.

(C) Recommendation for Award

The award has been agreed by the examiners, and confirmed by the Chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee.

G2.2 Masters by Research (MRes)

A Masters is awarded to a candidate who has investigated a topic using appropriate research methodology and has presented a satisfactory thesis. An oral examination may be required at the discretion of the examiners.

G2.3 Masters Titles

Masters of Research (MRes)

The title Master of Research (MRes) is used for all subject areas.

G2.4 Masters: Certificate of Award

The specification of the award of Master of Research is shown on the Certificate of Award.

G2.5 Masters: Use of Designatory Letters

Award holders may use the designatory letters with or without the mode of attaining the award.

G2.6 Master of Philosophy (MPhil)

A Master of Philosophy (MPhil) is awarded to a candidate who has satisfactorily completed, or been exempted from, an approved programme of research training; has investigated and evaluated, or

critically studied, an appropriate topic demonstrating an understanding of research methods appropriate to the chosen field; and has presented a satisfactory thesis. The candidate is required to defend the thesis by oral examination.

G2.7 Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

A Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) is awarded to a candidate who has satisfactorily completed, or been exempted from, an approved programme of research training; has investigated or critically studied an appropriate topic resulting in a significant contribution to knowledge; and has presented a satisfactory thesis. The candidate is required to defend the thesis by oral examination.

G2.8 PhD by Existing Published Work

A Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) may also be awarded on the basis of existing published work. This may include the candidate's own original creative work. The work presented must demonstrate a systematic approach evidencing independent, critical and original aspects, with a significant contribution to knowledge; and must include a synoptic review. The synoptic review and existing published work will form the thesis which is presented for examination. The candidate is required to defend the synopsis and published work (the thesis) by oral examination.

G2.9 Professional Doctorate

A Professional Doctorate is awarded to a candidate who has satisfactorily completed an approved programme of research training and contextual study. The candidate will also have investigated or critically studied an approved topic or topics which make a significant contribution to practice and/or knowledge, and presented a satisfactory thesis. The candidate is required to defend the thesis by oral examination.

G2.10 Doctor of Education (EdD)

The title of Doctor of Education (EdD) is reserved for programmes of research focused on education and professional practice in education.

Normally all candidates must have appropriate professional experience.

G2.11 Doctor of Engineering (DEng)

The title of Doctor of Engineering (DEng) is reserved for programmes of research focused on engineering and related subjects and professional practice in engineering.

Normally all candidates must have appropriate professional experience.

G2.12 Doctor of Business Administration (DBA)

The title of Doctor of Business Administration (DBA) is reserved for programmes of research focused on Business Administration and related subjects and professional practice

Normally, all candidates must have appropriate professional experience.

G2.13 Professional Doctorate (DProf)

The title of Professional Doctorate is reserved for those areas not covered by a named award.

Normally, all candidates must have appropriate professional experience.

G2.14 Higher Doctorates

The University awards Higher Doctorates to applicants who have undertaken work of high distinction, which has constituted an original and significant contribution to the advancement of knowledge, or its applications, or both.

Applications may be made for the following Higher Doctorates:

- Doctor of Laws (LLD)
- Doctor of Letters (DLitt)
- Doctor of Science (DSc)
- Doctor of Technology (DTech).

G3 RESEARCH AWARDS: GENERAL PROVISIONS

G3.1 Registration of Candidates

G3.1.1 Mode of Study

A candidate may register on a full-time or part-time basis.

A candidate may seek approval from the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee for a change in the mode of study at any point during the research programme.

G3.1.2 Off Shore Study Option (MRes, MPhil, PhD, and Professional Doctorates)

A candidate may register on a full-time or part-time basis. Opting for offshore study will allow a candidate to remain in their own country to study for their award whilst using agreed facilities within a specified and University approved location (usually a place of education that the University has a pre-arranged agreement with).

A candidate opting for this mode of study will be expected to be in residence in Leeds and attend our University for a minimum of two months at the beginning of the programme of study. This will establish a good working relationship with the supervisory team, undertake appropriate training and prepare for the Confirmation of Registration. Additional visits will be required as necessary for the particular award being studied. The candidate must attend the Viva Voce Examination in person at Leeds Beckett University.

G3.1.3 Periods of Registration

Registration commences from the date the candidate registers and enrolls as a research candidate at the University. The minimum and maximum periods of registration (which includes any period of writing-up, examination and conferment of the award), are provided in the table below:

Award	Period of Study	Writing up	Total
Masters by Research (Full-Time)	1 year	N/A	1 year
Masters by Research (Part-Time)	2 years	N/A	2 years
Master of Philosophy (Full-Time)	2 years	1 year	3 years
Masters of Philosophy (Part-Time)	3 years	1 year	4 years
Doctor of Philosophy (Full-Time)	3 years	1 year	4 years
Doctor of Philosophy (Part-Time)	5 years	1 year	6 years
Professional Research Doctorate (Part-Time)	4 years	1 year	5 years
PhD by Existing Published Works	1 year	N/A	1 year

G3.1.4 Duration of Study – Submission Prior to Expiry of Minimum Period of Registration

The minimum period of registration can exceptionally be reduced by up to 6 months for both full-time and part-time candidates (with fees adjusted accordingly), with the support of the candidate's supervisory team and the prior approval of the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee.

Candidates may submit their thesis for examination prior to the expiry of the minimum period of registration.

G3.1.5 Changes to a Candidate's Period of Registration

Where a candidate changes their mode of study or transfers from one research award to another, their period of registration will be calculated on a pro-rata basis.

G3.1.6 Candidates transferring from another institution – Periods of Registration

Where a candidate has commenced their period of registration with another institution, their period of registration will be calculated based on the date their registration commenced with the previous institution.

G3.1.7 Annual Progression and Continuation of a Candidate's Registration

Continuation of a candidate's registration will be subject to the outcome of the Annual Progression process.

G3.1.8 Duration of Study – Application to exceed maximum period of registration

A candidate registered for a research award of the University may only exceed the maximum period of registration in exceptional circumstances. The Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee is authorised to agree an extension to a candidate's registration up to a maximum of 12 months.

A candidate must submit a request to extend their registration at least 3 months prior to the expiry of their maximum period of registration or the request may be rejected.

G3.1.9 Externally-funded candidates – Duration of Study

Where a candidate accepted for a research award of the University is funded by an external body, and that external body prescribes time-limits for the completion of the award in question, the time-limit set by the external body shall be the duration of study for the candidate.

In accepting the funding from the external body to study within the University, the candidate agrees to be bound by the time limits set by the funding body for the submission of the award for which funding is given.

G3.1.10 Suspension of Registration

In exceptional circumstances, the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee may approve a request for suspension of registration for any research award where the candidate is prevented by ill-health or any other valid cause from making progress on their research.

The minimum period for a suspension period is 3 months.

Application for suspension is not permitted within the first 6 months of a programme of study nor during the writing-up period.

During any period of suspension the candidate will be required to maintain regular contact with their Director of Studies, providing updated information (such as medical certificates) on their status and expected return date.

Any period of suspension will not count towards the candidate's overall period of registration.

G3.1.11 Withdrawal of Registration

A candidate wishing to withdraw their registration from the University must inform the University Research Office of this intention in writing. The University Research Office should offer the candidate an exit-interview in which the reasons for withdrawal will be discussed.

G3.1.12 Registration and Confirmation of Registration

To meet academic standards and to ensure that candidates are embarking on an achievable programme of research leading to an award of the University, candidates are required to submit for confirmation of their registration on their target award.

G3.1.13 Transfer of Registration

If, through the course of their research, the candidate feels their research project may be suitable for an alternative award than the one which they originally registered for (a candidate wishing to transfer from MRes to MPhil or MPhil to PhD for example), they may seek to transfer their registration. Candidates are advised to seek the opinion, and advice of, their supervisory team before making a request to transfer their registration to an alternative award.

An application to transfer to an alternative award will be considered by the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee who may arrange for the candidate to undertake the Transfer of Registration process.

G3.2 Fees for Research Candidates

G3.2.1 Fees

The payment of fees will be as prescribed by the appropriate University guidance and processes.

The candidate will be expected to re-enrol and pay fees on an annual basis, subject to the outcome of the annual progression process.

The candidate's registration and fee payment must be current at the time of examination.

G3.2.2 Candidate entitlement on payment of fees

Payment of the required full-time or part-time fees entitles the research candidate to access the University's facilities and services. The candidate is entitled to receive supervision only during the period in which they pay the full fee for the appropriate mode of study.

G3.2.3 Writing-up Fee – MPhil, PhD only

A candidate permitted to register on to the writing-up fee by the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will not require, or receive, any supervision during the period in which they are paying the writing-up fee; but will be able to access the library and other learning facilities of the University.

The writing-up fee period will be a minimum of 2 months and a maximum period of 12 months which may not be extended.

G3.2.4 Writing-up Fee and Periods of Registration

A flat rate fee will be charged for the writing-up period. The fee is non-refundable.

Any period of writing-up will count towards the candidate's overall period of registration.

G3.3 Equality and Diversity: Reasonable Adjustments

G3.3.1 Consideration of Adjustments

In the interests of ensuring compliance with relevant equality and diversity legislation, where a candidate is prevented through disability, or any other valid cause, from undertaking the processes relating to their research award in the standard way, the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will consider and approve any variation to the processes relating to that award. In doing so, the standards of the award must be maintained.

G4 ANNUAL REPORTING

G4.1 Process

The Research Degree Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will receive reports on research students' progress, data and issues relating to research degree programmes.

G5 EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES

G5.1 Fit to Sit/Submit Principle

The Research Awards regulation in respect of Extenuating Circumstances is based on the principle of fit to sit/submit. The principle asserts that students who undertake an assessment or confirmation of registration process declare themselves fit to take that assessment or process; any claim for extenuating circumstances in relation to that assessment or process will not, normally, be considered.

G5.2 Progression

Extenuating circumstances which may have affected a candidate's progress should be drawn to the attention of the Research Degree Sub- Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee in respect of decisions which may be made on any aspect of a candidate's progress including confirmation of registration and examination.

G5.3 Early Notification

Candidates should draw the attention of their supervisory team (or independent contact) to any circumstances which may have affected or be affecting the progress of their studies at the earliest opportunity. This will enable the supervisory team to take this into account in respect of the annual progression review.

G5.4 Extenuating Circumstances - Examination and Outcomes

Where the extenuating circumstances relate to the timing of the viva-voce examination and / or any further examination (as relevant), the candidate must inform the University Research Office of these circumstances at the earliest possible opportunity so that arrangements can be made to postpone / re-schedule the viva-voce examination and/or any further examination.

Normally, no research award of the University may be conferred without the candidate successfully undertaking the relevant examination process for that award.

G5.5 Research Degrees with Structured Learning

Students undertaking Research Degree Awards with structured learning may submit their extenuating circumstances for consideration by a Research Mitigation Co-ordinator.

G5.6 Consideration of Extenuating Circumstances

The Research Mitigation Co-ordinator may approve an extension up to 10 days.

The Chair of the University Research Degrees Sub-committee or nominee may approve an extension up to 1 month.

Request for extensions over 1 month must be presented to the University Research Degrees Sub-committee to consider suspension of study.

G6 APPEAL PROVISIONS

G6.1 Regulations and Procedures for Appeal

The regulations and procedures governing the submission of an appeal are as found in Section C16 of the University Academic Principles and Regulations.

G6.2 Application

These regulations apply to any candidate appeal in respect of the following:

- Confirmation of Registration
- Transfer of Registration
- Registration for an alternate award
- The outcomes of the Annual Progression panel
- The examiners' decision in respect of a research award of the University.

G7 RESEARCH MISCONDUCT AND UNFAIR PRACTICE

G7.1 Investigating Research Misconduct and Unfair Practice

The circumstances of a claim of Research Misconduct will be investigated in line with the provisions of the Policy and Procedures for investigating allegations of misconduct in research.

A candidate or member of staff studying towards a research award who is suspected of plagiarism, collusion or other form of unfair practice may be investigated under the provisions of Section C9 of the University Academic Principles and Regulations.

G8 CONFIDENTIALITY AND PRESENTATION OF WORK

G8.1 Confidentiality of Theses

Where a candidate or the University wishes the thesis to remain confidential for a period of time after completion of the work, application for approval is normally made to the University at the time of registration or as soon as the need for confidentiality emerges. Application must be made to the University Research Office on the appropriate form.

The Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee normally only approves an application for confidentiality in order to enable a patent application to be lodged or to protect commercially sensitive material. The maximum period of confidentiality is normally two years, although in exceptional circumstances the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee may approve a longer period.

G8.2 Form of Presentation

The form of presentation may be by:

- A written thesis; or
- A thesis that comprises other material accompanied by a written critical evaluation and contextualising overview of the process and product of the intellectual enquiry.

G8.3 Creative Work

Candidates may propose a programme of work in which the candidate's own creative work forms a significant part of the intellectual enquiry where it is an integral part of the process and product. In such cases, the following are required:

(A) Research programme

Such creative work shall be undertaken within and as part of an identified research programme.

(B) Context

Such creative work must be clearly presented in relation to a written critically evaluating contextual overview of the process and product of the intellectual enquiry. This written component should be not less than 10,000 words and not more than 25,000 as appropriate to the particular research proposal.

(C) Form of Submission, Methods of Assessment and Permanent Record

The form of the proposed submission and the proposed methods of assessment must be set out in the application for registration and be such that they meet the regulatory requirements for the award. Creative work submitted for examination must be documented through appropriate textual and photographic, video, CD-rom or DVD evidence including any artefacts or documentation integral to the creation of the work. Such documentation will provide a permanent archival record of the full submission. Where a web-based submission is made, a permanent archival record of the website on disk must be provided. Submissions in such a format may if appropriate integrate the written component (see G8.3 (B) above).

G8.4 Scholarly Editions

Applicants may propose a programme of research of which the principal focus is the preparation of a scholarly edition of a text or texts, musical or choreographic work, or other original artefact. In such cases the following are required:

(A) Form of Submission

The application must show how the final work shall be submitted.

(B) Commentary and Context

The resultant work to be examined shall include a substantial introduction and a critical commentary setting the text(s) in the relevant historical, theoretical and critical context.

(C) Other Requirements

The thesis shall conform to the usual scholarly requirements and be of an appropriate length.

G9 CO-OPERATION WITH OTHER ORGANISATIONS

G9.1 Co-operation with Other Organisations

The University encourages programmes of research that involve co-operation with industrial, commercial, professional or research organisations leading to one of its awards.

Such co-operation is undertaken with the intention of:

- Encouraging outward looking and relevant research
- Extending a research candidate's experience and perspectives
- Providing a wider context for the development of the research topic to be undertaken
- Benefiting the research of the co-operating organisation.

G9.2 Co-operating Organisations

Formal co-operation may be with one or more external bodies or institutions, each of which is referred to as a Co-operating Organisation.

G9.3 Details of Agreement

The establishment of such a co-operation shall specify:

- The resources and facilities available for a candidate
- The arrangements (if appropriate) for joint or other supervision
- Their commitment to support the candidate to submission, or other relevant guarantee.

Any agreement with a co-operating organisation(s) will be subject to the procedures identified in 'Section E: Partnerships, Collaborative Provision, Flexible and Distributed Learning and Accreditation of Provision Delivered at other Institutions' of the University Academic Principles and Regulations.

G9.4 Academic Independence

All proposed research programmes are considered for research award registration on their academic merits and without reference to the concerns or interests of any associated funding body.

G10 ADMISSION

G10.1 Admission & Entry Qualifications

G10.1.1 Admission

Admission of candidates for research awards will conform to the general Admission Regulations of the University, as appropriate, and to the specific provisions in respect of application and qualification for registration for research awards.

G10.1.2 Evidence of Qualifications

All applicants will be required to provide satisfactory evidence of the qualifications or experienced claimed. Falsification of such evidence will lead to the termination of registration.

G10.1.3 MRes, MPhil, PhD, PhD by Existing Published Work

The normal entry qualifications for registration on to the degrees of MRes, MPhil or PhD, is an appropriate honours degree of a United Kingdom higher education institution; or one recognised by the University as equivalent.

Admission may also be through a qualification which is regarded by the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee as equivalent.

G10.1.4 Professional Doctorates

Candidates for Professional Doctorates should normally have an appropriate honours degree of a United Kingdom higher education institution; and have had a minimum of three years of professional experience. Professional experience will be understood as practical experience within the relevant field of enquiry.

G10.1.5 Non-standard Entry Qualifications

An application made by someone other than those holding an appropriate entry qualification is considered on its merits. Evidence is required to demonstrate that the background knowledge is appropriate and that the candidate has the ability to carry out the research to the level required for that award.

Such an application is considered by the Chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee who will consider the recommendation in the context of consistency and fairness across the University and make a recommendation.

G10.1.6 English Language Qualification

If a candidate's first language is not English, the University will require evidence that the candidate has the necessary language skills to fulfil the requirements of the award.

Candidates are required to provide evidence of a minimum International English Language Testing System Grade, or equivalent, of 6.5 (IELTS 6.5) with no individual sub-score below 6.0 for all research awards.

G10.1.7 Transfer of Registration from another Institution

An applicant wishing to transfer their registration from another institution in the United Kingdom must provide evidence:

- That the proposed programme of study has been accepted by that institution and when their registration commenced
- The level at which it was accepted.

Subject to the satisfactory provision of evidence, an applicant for transfer into the University may register for the target award of PhD, MPhil or MRes.

Candidates whose programme of study was approved for the award of MPhil or MRes and who wish to register for a higher or alternative award with the University will be required to submit for confirmation of registration on to the higher / alternative award.

G10.2 Consideration and Determination of Application

G10.2.1 Application for Admission

Application for admission to a research award of the University is made to the University Research Office.

G10.2.2 Reference to relevant external legislation and policies

The University requires all candidates to comply with all laws, legislation and policies appropriate to the research project and provide documentary evidence as appropriate.

Confirmation of compliance with the relevant legislation / policies must be forwarded to the University Research Office before any decision on admission can be made.

This requirement applies equally where the requirement to comply with any law, external legislation / policies emerges after registration.

G10.2.3 Group Projects

An applicant whose work forms part of a larger group project may apply to register for a research award subject to the following requirements:

- The project work to be undertaken by the applicant must be clearly defined, together with supervisory and technical assistance

- The individual contributions must be clearly identified and be distinguishable at the examination.

G10.2.4 Research Projects substantially undertaken outside the University

Applications may be made from persons proposing to work substantially outside the University or outside the United Kingdom provided that:

- The facilities are available to carry out the programme of research
- Arrangements for supervision can be made to provide for adequate and appropriate contact between the candidate and the supervisor(s) based in the University.

G10.2.5 Research Training Programme

It is compulsory for a candidate registered for a research award to have successfully completed an approved research training programme before they are eligible to be examined for the research award, unless exemption has been agreed.

MRes candidates will be exempt from this requirement due to the nature of their award.

On account of the basis on which the submission will be made, a candidate for PhD by Existing Published Work is exempt from the requirement to participate in the University's Research Training Programme.

G10.2.6 Exemption from the Research Training Programme

The Research Degree Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee may, following an application from the supervisory team, exempt an applicant from the Research Training Programme.

In cases where a candidate has been exempted from the approved training this should be stated clearly on the offer letter to the applicant prior to them registering on their award.

G10.2.7 Decision on an Application

The University will determine:

- Whether an offer of a place should be made to an applicant
- The level at which registration should take place (if relevant)
- Whether the applicant is exempt from the Research Training Programme.

The University Research Office will communicate the decision to the applicant.

G10.2.8 Registration

After an offer of a place is made and accepted by the applicant, the new candidate must register and enrol as a research candidate of Leeds Beckett University on the appropriate award; and commence the payment of fees.

G11 SUPERVISION OF RESEARCH

G11.1 Supervision of Research

A research degree candidate is normally supervised by a Director of Studies and at least one other supervisor. In exceptional circumstances, an additional supervisor may be added to the supervisory team.

G11.2 Appointment of Supervisory Team

The supervisory team will be proposed by the relevant Faculty with the relevant members of academic staff, during the process of consideration of the application.

G11.3 Supervisory Team: staff development

All supervisors will be required by the University to engage in development of various kinds to equip them to supervise candidates. New supervisors will participate in specified development activities arranged by the University to assure their competence in the role.

G11.4 Advisors

An advisor or advisors may be proposed in addition to the Director of Studies and supervisor. The advisor would normally provide one or more of the following:

- A specialised knowledge of value to the research project
- Additional extensive experience of research award supervision
- An appropriate link with, or is in, an external organisation that will assist with the programme of research.

G11.5 Staff ineligible to act as Research Supervisors

To avoid potential conflicts of interest, real or perceived, a member of staff registered for a research degree, either internal or external to the University, should not act as a supervisor to another research degree candidate.

In exceptional circumstances the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee has discretion to approve variance to this provision; and such exemption should be sought from the Chair of the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee.

G11.6 Change in Supervisory Arrangements

Changes in supervisory arrangements should be reported to the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee.

G12 COMMENCEMENT OF STUDY

G12.1 Candidates

During the first stage of the research project, the research candidate will work with the supervisory team, and:

- Refine the proposed project
- Comply with any other relevant University procedures required for confirmation of registration.

G12.2 Supervisory team

During the first stage of the research project, the supervisory team will work with the candidate, and:

- Assure themselves of the candidate's suitability to undertake the research and the feasibility of the proposed project
- Ensure compliance with any other relevant procedures.

G12.3 Ethical Approval of Research Programme

The supervisors will determine the type and level of approval or authorisation the candidate's project is likely to require and whether the proposed project requires reference to the Faculty Research Ethics Committee; or requires any other external approval.

Where ethical approval has been sought and agreed, the relevant documentation must be submitted with the documentation for Confirmation of Registration. Where ethical approval is ongoing and/or subject to further refinement, a report to that effect must be included with the documentation for confirmation as defined by the confirmation of registration regulations for that award.

G12.4 Health and Safety: Risk Assessment

The supervisors, with the advice of the University Health and Safety Officers if appropriate, will determine whether the proposed project requires a risk assessment.

Where required, such a risk assessment must be carried out prior to confirmation of registration; and the record of the risk assessment and its outcomes included with the documentation for confirmation of registration.

G12.5 Data Protection Act 1988

Research candidates must make themselves aware of the provisions of the Data Protection Act 1988, and how this impacts on their programme of study.

G12.6 Intellectual Property Provisions

Research candidates must ensure they are aware of the University Regulations with regards to intellectual property (see General Student Regulations); by enrolling on to a University programme, candidates will confirm their compliance with these provisions.

G12.7 Collaborating Institutions or Organisations

The supervisors will ensure that, where required, a formal letter of support from a collaborating institution or organisation, setting out the terms of the collaboration, is obtained by the candidate. This letter will be included with the documentation for confirmation of registration.

G13 PROGRESSION

G13.1 Progression

At any point a formal progression meeting can be held.

G13.2 Annual Progression

The progress of all candidates will be reviewed annually through the Annual Progression process.

In the absence of such progress, the progression panel will take appropriate action which may include the requirement for the candidate to withdraw from their programme of study.

G13.3 Annual Progression: Process

The Annual Progression Process will take the following format:

- Annual Progression Panel is formed
- Candidate submits Annual Progression Form with any relevant supporting information (Director of Studies also completes the relevant section of this form)
- The candidate presents an overview of their work to date and outlines the progress made in a presentation to the Annual Progression Panel
- The Annual Progression Panel confirms outcome to the candidate
- Outcomes of the Annual Progression Process are reported to Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee.

G13.4 Annual Progression Panels

Candidate progress will be reviewed by an Annual Progression Panel which will meet within 12 months of the candidate's enrolment date with the University.

The candidate's progress will be reviewed on an annual basis thereafter.

G13.5 Annual Progression: Outcomes

The Annual Progression Panel is permitted to decide one of the following outcomes:

- **Continue** - The candidate is permitted to continue their studies
- **Continue with Written Warning** - The candidate is permitted to continue with their studies with a written warning regarding their lack of satisfactory progress which will be reviewed by the next available Annual Progression Panel or at an earlier additional progression point, as defined by the Panel
- **Alternative Award** - The candidate is not permitted to continue their studies on their current award but is offered the opportunity to registers on an alternative (MPhil to MRes) or lesser award (PhD to MPhil or MRes)
- **Withdraw** - The candidate is not permitted to continue their studies and is required to withdraw from their research programme.

G13.6 Annual Progression: Review of Written Warnings

Where the outcome of the Annual Progression Panel is to permit the candidate to continue with their studies with a written warning regarding the lack of satisfactory progress, this may be reviewed by the next Annual Progression Panel or at an earlier additional progression point as decided by the panel. If the additional progression point is set prior to the next meeting of the Annual Progression Panel, the date by which the review will take place will be clearly specified to the candidate.

Upon further reviewing the progress of the candidate, at the additional progression point, the Panel will retain the right to make any of the decisions available at the original Annual Progression Panel from which the written warning originated (as in G13.4).

G13.7 Appeal

A candidate whose registration is terminated or amended may lodge a request for an appeal hearing under the provisions of the University Academic Principles and Regulations, section C16. Appeals on the grounds of academic judgement are not permitted under these regulations.

G14 TRANSFER OF REGISTRATION

G14.1 Transfer of Registration - Higher Award

A candidate registered for the award of MPhil may seek to transfer their registration to PhD. A candidate registered for the award of MRes may seek to transfer their registration to MPhil.

G14.2 Transfer of Registration – Lower Award

A candidate registered for a research award who is unable to complete the approved programme of work at that level may make an application to the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee to revert to a lower award, providing this is done before the submission of the examination arrangements for the candidate.

In considering the application to transfer to the lower award, the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee is required to confirm that the candidate will realistically be able to achieve the lower award.

Where there are doubts, the candidate may be required to submit for Confirmation of Registration for the lower award.

G14.3 Timescales

A request for transfer may take place at any point before the submission of the examination arrangements for the candidate.

G14.4 Process

The process of transfer will be the same as the process for confirmation of registration for the award the candidate is transferring to.

G14.5 Appeal

A candidate refused transfer of registration on to a higher award, may lodge a request for an appeal hearing under the provisions of the University Academic Principles and Regulations, section C16. Appeals on the grounds of academic judgement are not permitted grounds of appeal under these regulations.

G15 CONFIRMATION OF REGISTRATION

G15.1 Confirmation of Research Award

The following provisions for Confirmation of Registration apply to MPhil, PhD and Professional Doctorate candidates. For PhD by Existing Published Work see section G22.3.

G15.2 Purpose and Requirements of Confirmation of Registration

The purpose of these provisions is to ensure:

- That the completion of the research project as described will realistically enable the candidate to achieve a research award of the University at the designated level
- The suitability of the candidate to pursue the research project at that level.

G15.3 Timescales

Confirmation of Registration on their award will be completed within 4 months of enrolment for full-time candidates and 6 months for part-time candidates.

Candidates are required to undertake the Confirmation of Registration during the fixed weeks appropriate to the Intake Date.

The Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee may approve a change from the fixed weeks, in exceptional circumstances only. Any application for a change from the fixed weeks must be made at the earliest possible opportunity when the reasons for making such a request emerge.

G15.4 Outcomes

The discussion will result in one of the following outcomes:

- Confirmation of registration for the award
- Confirmation of registration for a lesser award
- Termination of programme.

G15.5 Appeal

A candidate refused Confirmation of Registration to a research award may lodge a request for an Appeal Hearing under the provisions of Section C16 of the University Academic Principles and Regulations.

Appeals on the grounds of academic judgement are not permitted as grounds of appeal under these regulations.

G16 ELIGIBILITY AND SUBMISSION FOR EXAMINATION

G16.1 Eligibility for Examination

G16.1.1 Research Training Programme – Research Awards

Unless specifically exempted, a candidate registered for a Research Award of the University is required to follow an approved Research Training Programme before they are eligible to be examined for the research award.

G16.1.2 Research Training and Contextual Study – Professional Doctorate

A candidate for the award of Professional Research Doctorate is not eligible to be examined until the approved programme of research training and contextual study specific to that award has been successfully completed.

G16.1.3 Confirmation of completion of Research Training Programme

Before examination for the registered award, the candidate must obtain written confirmation that the relevant research training programme and/or contextual study has been satisfactorily completed.

G16.1.4 Submission at the Discretion of the Candidate

Where a minimum period of registration exists for the candidate's award, the candidate may submit the thesis prior to the expiry of their minimum period of registration but may not be conferred with the award unless specific permission has been granted by the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of University Research and Enterprise Committee.

Submission of the thesis for examination is at the sole discretion of the candidate. Although a candidate would be unwise to submit the thesis against the advice of the supervisors, it is their right to do so.

Candidates should not assume that a supervisor's agreement to the submission of the thesis guarantees the award of the degree.

G16.1.5 Mock Viva

Any candidate registered on a research award of the University must be given a mock viva prior to submission of the final version of the thesis.

The outcome or advice received by the candidate as a result of this process does not guarantee receipt of the award.

G16.1.6 Compliance with University Requirements

It is the responsibility of the candidate to ensure prior to the examination:

- That the requirements of the relevant University Principles and Regulations have been met, including the payment of fees
- That registration is still current.

G16.1.7 Examination Arrangements and contact with External Examiner(s)

A candidate may not take part in the arrangement of the examination and shall have no formal contact with the external examiner(s) between the appointment of the examiners and the oral examination and any subsequent re-submission of the thesis and/or oral and any further examination as required.

G16.1.8 Candidate's Declaration

The candidate is required to confirm in writing that:

- The thesis has not been submitted for a comparable academic award
- The thesis is the candidate's own work.

Where work which has already been submitted for a degree or comparable award is included in the thesis, this should be declared.

The candidate's declaration must include a signature from their Director of Studies confirming that, so far as they are aware, the work was undertaken by the candidate. If the Director of Studies is unable to confirm this, any concerns will be outlined. Where appropriate, the Candidate's Declaration form will be presented to the Research Degree Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee, and any concerns raised by the Director of Studies may be investigated prior to the examination taking place.

Candidates should not assume that the Director of Studies signature guarantees the award of the degree.

G16.1.9 Format of the Thesis and Language of Submission

The candidate is responsible for ensuring that the thesis is submitted in the appropriate format.

All theses must be submitted in English.

G16.2 The Thesis: Submission, Deposit and Confidentiality

G16.2.1 Post-Examination: Submission of copies of final text to the University

Following a recommendation of the award, the candidate shall submit to the University Research Office such copies of the final text of the thesis as may be required under the Academic Principles and Regulations. This will be the text endorsed for the conferment of the award by the Chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee.

G16.2.2 Deposit in Library

Following the award of the degree the University Research Office shall:

- (For PhD awards only) Send one loose copy of the abstract, table of contents and title page to the British Library for indexing
- Lodge one copy of the bound thesis in the appropriate library of the University; and one copy in the library of any collaborating establishment.

In the case of research by creative work, the permanent archival record of the full submission (see Regulation G8.3 (C)) shall be lodged in the appropriate Library of the University and a copy in the library of any collaborating establishment.

G16.2.3 Confidentiality: restriction of access

Where the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee has agreed to confidentiality, this precludes the thesis being made freely available in:

- Any Library of the University
- The library of any Collaborating Establishment
- The British Library (PhD theses only).

In such cases the thesis shall, immediately on completion of the programme of work:

- Be retained by the University on restricted access
- For a defined period of time, shall only be made available to those who were directly involved in the project.

G16.2.4 University Property

The copies of the thesis submitted for examination remain the property of the University.

G17 EXAMINATION PROVISIONS

G17.1 Examinations: General Provisions

G17.1.1 Proper Conduct of Examinations

The Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee has responsibility for ensuring that all examinations are conducted in accordance with University Principles and Regulations; and that all recommendations for awards are made in accordance with the Academic Principles and Regulations of the University.

G17.1.2 Action on Irregularities

In any instance where the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee is made aware of a failure to comply with all the procedures of the examination process or of any circumstances which may have adversely affected the examination process of a candidate, it may declare the examination null and void, and appoint new examiners.

G17.1.3 Research Degrees Sub-Committee

The Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee is responsible for approving examination arrangements for all research degrees.

Non-UK based examiners will not normally be considered unless an exceptional rationale is made for their appointment.

G17.1.4 Contact with Examiners: prior to examination

A candidate shall have no formal contact with the external examiner(s) between the appointment of the examiner(s) and the conclusion of the examination process (including any re-assessment).

Any queries from the candidate will be directed to the Independent Chair.

G17.1.5 Authority to Decide the Outcome of an Examination

The examiners will make a decision on the outcome of an examination.

Where this relates to the conferment of an award of the University, whether following the successful completion of minor, re-submission or any other circumstances, the Chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will be required to confirm their agreement on behalf of the University Research and Enterprise Committee before the award is conferred.

In doing so, the Chair may inspect any relevant paperwork or information.

G17.1.6 Posthumous Awards

Research Awards may be awarded posthumously on the basis of a thesis completed by a candidate, which is ready for submission for examination.

G17.2 Examiners

G17.2.1 Number of Examiners

A candidate is examined by at least two and normally not more than three examiners. One examiner shall be an internal examiner. Examiners should be experienced in research in the general area of the candidate's thesis and, where practicable, have experience as a specialist in the topic(s) to be examined.

The supervisory team will propose suitable examiners for approval by the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee.

G17.2.2 External Examiners

At least one of the examiners shall be external to the University. External examiners are required to have substantial experience of examining research candidates to the level of the award being examined. This is normally regarded as having undertaken at least three previous examinations in the field and at the level in question.

G17.2.3 External Examiners: independence

The external examiner is required to be independent both of the University and of the collaborating body (if any); and shall not have acted as the candidate's adviser or supervisor.

The Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee must also ensure that an external examiner is not approved so frequently that their familiarity with the University might prejudice objective judgement.

Former members of the University are not normally approved as external examiners until five years after the termination of their employment with the University.

G17.2.4 Requirement for Second External Examiner

Where the candidate and the internal examiner are both on the permanent staff of the University, a second external examiner shall be appointed.

This provision does not apply in respect of a candidate who is on a fixed short-term employment contract (for example a research assistant).

Where other circumstances arise outside of those above, the chair of the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will make a final decision regarding whether the circumstances of the individual case dictate that a second external examiner is required.

G17.2.5 Internal Examiners

An internal examiner may be:

- A member of staff of the University
- A former member of staff, employed during the period of registration
- A member of staff of any co-operating establishment concerned with the project.

G17.2.6 Ineligibility to act as Examiner

No member of the candidate's supervisory team should be appointed as internal examiner for that candidate.

No candidate registered for a research award may act as an examiner.

G17.2.7 Independent Chairs

The Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will appoint a non-examining Independent Chair for all viva-voce examinations for research awards of the University.

G18 EXAMINATION

G18.1 Form of Examination

G18.1.1 MRes

Examination for Masters by Research awards is normally through consideration of the written thesis only.

External examiners have the right to require that any candidate also be examined by oral examination. This form of assessment may be of advantage when the thesis is considered borderline.

G18.1.2 MPhil, PhD, Professional Doctorate

The examination for these research awards normally proceeds in two stages:

- The submission and preliminary assessment of a thesis

- An oral examination where the candidate is required to defend the thesis.

G18.1.3 MPhil, PhD, Professional Doctorate: Oral Examination

A candidate is normally examined orally on the programme of work and on the field of study in which the programme lies. The oral examination is normally held in the United Kingdom and the candidate is expected to attend in person.

In exceptional circumstances, the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee may approve an alternative form of examination.

G18.1.4 Oral Examination: Supervisors and Advisors

Members of the supervisory team or an advisor may, with the consent of the candidate, attend the oral examination. They may participate in the discussion at the discretion of the examiners but they are required to withdraw prior to the deliberations of the examiners on the outcome of the examination.

G18.1.5 Oral Examination: Timing

The oral examination is normally arranged to take place within 3 months of the submission of the thesis.

G18.2 Re-examination

G18.2.1 Number and timescales - One Re-examination

Where the examiners decide that the candidate should be re-examined, they will be permitted to be re-examined once only.

G18.2.2 Information to Candidates

The examiners are required to provide the candidate with written guidance on any deficiencies of the first submission, which will be forwarded to the candidate with the result of the first examination.

Receipt of this guidance does not of itself guarantee successful re-examination.

G18.2.3 Timescale for Re-examination

Timescales in respect of re-examination commence from the date of the written notification from the University Research Office of the candidate's entitlement to re-submit.

All candidates will be re-examined at the earliest opportunity following the original examination and re-examination must take place within 12 months of the receipt of the written notification.

G18.2.4 Extension of the Timescale for Re-examination

The Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee may approve an extension of this period in exceptional circumstances only.

G19 EXAMINATION PROCEDURES

G19.1 Examination for the awards of MPhil, PhD and Professional Doctorate

G19.1.1 Preliminary Assessment Report

Each examiner is required to read and examine the thesis and produce an independent preliminary assessment report on it.

The University Research Office will make arrangements for the exchange of preliminary assessment reports between examiners.

G19.1.2 Status of this documentation – Preliminary Assessment Report

The preliminary assessment report is a preliminary assessment of the academic standard of the work only. The contents of the preliminary report are confidential to the examiners and do not carry the status of a final decision.

The University Research Office will retain this documentation for the purpose of assuring due process only; and the contents of the preliminary view will not be disclosed to any other person under normal circumstances.

G19.1.3 Further Examination in addition to Oral Examination

The examiners may request a further examination in addition to the oral examination. This further examination is deemed to be part of the candidate's first examination.

A further examination requires the approval of the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee; and shall normally be held within 2 calendar months of the oral examination unless the committee permits otherwise.

G19.1.4 Examiners' Decisions

Following the oral examination (and, if relevant, further examination), where the examiners are in agreement they complete the relevant joint decision paperwork.

The Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will routinely review the paperwork produced following examinations to satisfy itself that due process has been followed and the decisions reached are sound.

In cases where the examiner's decision is to confer the award, the Chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee must sign to confirm this on behalf of the committee.

G19.1.5 Available Outcomes

The examiners may make one of the following decisions:

- The candidate receives the award
- The candidate receives the award subject to minor amendments being made to the thesis within a 1 month period
- The candidate be permitted to re-submit for the award, and be re-examined on the thesis with an oral examination (and/or further examination (as applicable))
- The candidate be permitted to re-submit for the award, and be re-examined on the thesis only
- (For PhD and Professional Doctorate) That the candidate has not achieved the standard of the award, but has satisfied the criteria for award of an MPhil. In this case the candidate may, after possible changes to format of the thesis to satisfy the terms of the regulations for the degree, be awarded the degree of MPhil
- (For MPhil examinations) That the candidate has not achieved the standard of the award of MPhil, and should be awarded the alternative award of MRes subject to confirmation that the thesis meets the requirements for the award
- (For all examinations) That the candidate has not achieved the standard of the award, but should be offered the opportunity to re-submit to be assessed for the award of MPhil (PhD, or Professional Doctorate registrations) or MRes (MPhil registrations).

G19.1.6 Recommendations where the Examiners are not in agreement

Where the examiners are not unanimous in respect of their final decision, each examiner must complete, and forward their individual recommendation to the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee, in the required format.

The individual recommendations must provide sufficiently detailed comments to enable the Committee to satisfy itself that due process has been followed.

G19.1.7 Course of Action open to the University

On receipt of the individual recommendations, Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee may take one of the following courses of action:

- Accept a majority recommendation, providing that the majority recommendation includes at least one external examiner
- Accept the recommendation of the external examiner
- Appointment an additional external examiner.

G19.1.8 Additional External Examiner

Where an additional external examiner is appointed, they are not informed of the recommendations of the other examiners.

The additional external examiner is required to provide an independent report on the thesis making any recommendation open to an examiner. For clarification, where an additional examiner is appointed following the requirement for the candidate to re-submit their thesis and/or be re-examined by oral examination, only the recommendations available to examiners at the re-assessment stage will be available.

The additional external examiner may also conduct an oral examination. This may be in addition to any previous oral examination which may have taken place. In such cases, the Independent Chair of any previous examination will also be present.

G19.2 Re-examination for the awards of MPhil, PhD and Professional Doctorate

G19.2.1 Application

These provisions apply to re-submission following the decision of examiners for the awards of MPhil, PhD and Professional Doctorate.

G19.2.2 Responsibility of the candidate

It is the candidate's responsibility to re-submit the work in the appropriate format and within the required timescale.

G19.2.3 Re-examination process

The process for examination and determination of outcomes is the same as that for the initial examination, however the list of available decisions differ as outlined below.

G19.2.4 Available Outcomes

The examiners may make one of the following recommendations:

- The candidate receives the award
- The candidate receives the award subject to minor amendments being made to the thesis within a 1 month period.
- (For PhD, Professional Doctorate) That the candidate has not achieved the standard of the award, and should be awarded the degree of MPhil, subject to the conditions for that award being met
- (For MPhil examinations) That the candidate has not achieved the standard of the award of MPhil, and should be awarded the alternative award of MRes subject to the conditions for that award being met
- That the candidate has not achieved the standard of the award or that of a lesser or alternative award; and shall not receive an award.

G19.2.5 Appeal

A candidate:

- Who has been offered a lower or alternate award (MPhil or MRes)
- Who has not been recommended for an award.

May lodge a request for an Appeal Hearing under the provisions of the University Academic Principles and Regulations, section C16. Appeals on the grounds of academic judgement are not permitted grounds of appeal under these regulations.

G20 EXAMINATION FOR AWARD OF MRes

The general examination provisions will apply with the following exceptions:

G20.1 Application

These provisions apply to:

- Examination / Re-examination for the award of MRes
- Re-submission to be assessed for the award of MRes after examination for the awards of MPhil, PhD or Professional Doctorate (only the outcomes listed in G20.5 will be available)

G20.2 Meeting between Examiners

If, having completed the preliminary assessment reports, the examiners consider that the assessment process requires a meeting between the examiners; the University Research Office will arrange such a meeting.

G20.3 Oral Examination

The examiners may request an oral examination in addition to assessing the thesis. This may be particularly useful in determining the outcome of borderline cases.

The examination process is not complete until this oral examination has taken place.

G20.4 MRes Examination: Outcomes

The list of available decisions includes the following only:

- The candidate receives the award
- The candidate receives the award subject to minor amendments being made to the thesis within a 1 month period
- The candidate is permitted to re-submit for the award.

G20.5 MRes Examination: Re-Assessment Outcomes

The list of available decisions at the re-examination stage includes the following only:

- The candidate receives the award
- The candidate receives the award subject to minor amendments being made to the thesis within a 1 month period
- The candidate shall not receive the award.

G21 RECOMMENDATION FOR AN AWARD OF THE UNIVERSITY

G21.1 Recommendation for a Research Award of the University

The University Research and Enterprise Committee is the sole body empowered to approve the conferment of a research award of the University. The chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will be given delegated authority to approve the conferment of a research award.

G21.2 Confirmation of Completion of Minor Amendments

Where the examiners have decided that the candidate receives the award subject to minor amendments being made to the thesis, the examiners (internal and/or external) shall confirm to the Chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee that this has been completed satisfactorily.

G21.3 Documentation presented to the Chair of University Research and Enterprise Committee

The chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee may in exceptional circumstances inspect any relevant documentation. This would normally include the following:

- The paperwork relating to the formal decision of the examiners
- The candidate declaration in respect of the work
- (For PhD awards only) A loose copy of the abstract, table of contents and title page
- a copy of the thesis
- (If appropriate) Written confirmation that required minor amendments have been satisfactorily completed.

G21.4 Formal Progressing of the Recommendation for an award

The Chair's approval will be presented to the next meeting of the University Research and Enterprise Committee for formal recording of the conferment of the award to the candidate.

G21.5 Date of Conferment

The date of conferment of an award will be the date when the Chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee confirms the examiner's decision to award the degree.

The certificate of award will be available to the candidate at the time of the next award ceremony following conferment of the award and the University being in receipt of the hard copy of the thesis.

A candidate may elect to receive their award in absentia.

G22 DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY BY EXISTING PUBLISHED WORK

G22.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

G22.1.1 General Provisions

A candidate for the award of PhD by Existing Published Work will be covered by the standard University Academic Principles and Regulations and procedures for research awards with the following exceptions / additions.

A candidate may submit for the degree of PhD by Existing Published Work in any field of study. Published Work includes creative work where this is an integral part of the process and product.

G22.1.2 General Requirements

Published Work may be submitted for the award of PhD by Existing Published Work providing that:

- The submitted works constitute a sufficient, coherent programme of published peer-reviewed research, as opposed to a series of unconnected works
- The creative work has been placed in the public domain and underpins a coherent programme of research
- The University is able to provide appropriate advisor in the field of study.

G22.1.3 Eligibility

The award is open to all members of staff contracted to our University, graduates of our University, former academic staff and honorary academics. Candidates are normally expected to have at least five years relevant experience and evidenced research at postgraduate level prior to application

G22.1.4 Application

Applications for this award will be reviewed initially at the University Research Degrees Sub Committee.

G22.1.5 Research Standing

The PhD by Existing Published Work is primarily intended as an alternative route to the award of PhD in which recognition is given to the contribution of established researchers who have a substantial research and publication record and have made a contribution to the field of study commensurate with that of a PhD thesis. It is normally expected that the submission will demonstrate original work which has extended the forefront of the discipline in question.

Creative work is expected to be a substantive corpus of original creative work which extends the forefront of the discipline, and in which intellectual enquiry is shown to be an integral part of the process and product.

It is normally expected that the works submitted by a candidate form part of a substantial record of publication.

G22.1.6 Indicative Scope of Submission

As an indication of what would be sufficient, candidates would be expected to submit at least six distinct, substantial refereed journal articles or equivalent (for example chapters in edited collections or selected chapters from wholly authored publications) from an extensive portfolio of publications. These articles and the accompanying synopsis (see below) are expected to approximately equate to the written work expected of more conventional doctoral dissertations in similar subject areas. This number should be increased appropriately where articles of multiple authorship are submitted, and authors will be expected to state the nature and quantity of their contribution to any shared publication. It is normally expected that some of the articles submitted should be single authored.

As an indication of what would be expected for submissions relying on creative work, candidates would normally be expected to submit a significant corpus of work which represents an equivalent

depth and breadth of enquiry to that of a PhD. This may include original words, or, where necessary, their representation through other means.

G22.1.7 Candidate's Declaration

Normally, a candidate must not have submitted any of the publications listed in this application for any other award. A declaration to this effect must be submitted by the candidate, both at the time of application for registration and with the final submission.

Any exception to this provision must be agreed by the Research Degrees Sub-Committee of the University Research and Enterprise Committee as part of the admission process.

G22.1.8 Language of Submission

The published works and synopsis should be presented in English.

G22.1.9 Requirements in relation to Publications

A work is normally regarded as published only if it is traceable through ordinary catalogues, abstracts or citation indices and is available to the general public. This will normally require that the works are registered with an ISSN/ISBN numbers and therefore in the public domain.

G22.2 APPLICATION PROCESS

G22.2.1 Application Process

The process followed is that set out in the Academic Principles and Regulations, Section G10, with the following additions.

G22.2.2 Information Required on Application

Each applicant should provide the following information with their application:

- A statement of not more than 1,500 words which identifies in outline how the proposed submission shows work at the forefront of the discipline; and outlines the rationale for the cohesion of the proposed works
- A full citation and short abstract of 50 words for each submission/output. Where there are joint publications the applicant must state the nature and quantity of their contribution
- A copy of the published works which the candidate proposes to submit
- A Curriculum Vitae
- Details of two academic referees.

G22.3 CONFIRMATION OF REGISTRATION – PhD BY EXISTING PUBLISHED WORK

G22.3.1 Purpose

The purpose of these provisions is to ensure that the completion of the research project as described will realistically enable the candidate to achieve the award of PhD by Existing Published Work.

The candidate should provide the Review Panel with sufficient material for the Panel to be able to consider:

- The coherence of the submission
- The extent to which the proposed submission for the award demonstrates work which is original and has extended the forefront of the discipline in question
- The extent to which the proposed submission for the award is commensurate with that of a PhD.

G22.3.2 Interview Stage

A formal and rigorous interview of the Candidate by a Review Panel comprising selected members of University Research Degrees Sub Committee will be held. The candidate must attend in person. The Panel must satisfy itself that the published work is significant and the level of the individual's contribution justifies the registration for the award of the degree.

G22.3.3 Appeal

A candidate refused confirmation of registration may lodge a Request for an Appeal Hearing under the provisions of the University Academic Principles and Regulations, section C16. Appeals on the grounds of academic judgement are not permitted grounds of appeal under these regulations.

G22.3.4 Format of the Synopsis

The candidate is responsible for ensuring that the format of the submission is in accordance with the University Academic Principles and Regulations. The requirements are set out in Section G22.5.

G22.4 REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBMISSION

G22.4.1 Contents of Submission

A candidate is required to submit copies of the published works accompanied by a synopsis which demonstrates how these works taken together constitutes a coherent piece of research which makes a significant contribution to knowledge.

G22.4.2 Requirements in relation to Publications

A work is normally regarded as published only if it is traceable through ordinary catalogues, abstracts or citation indices and is available to the general public. This will normally require that the works are registered with ISSN/ISBN numbers and therefore in the public domain.

G22.4.3 Collaborative Research

Where any work submitted for the award has been carried out in collaboration with others, a candidate must include within the Candidate's Submission a statement clearly setting out the relative input of the contributing/collaborating parties. This statement will also have to be included with the final submission for the award. The University reserves the right to consult with any of the co-authors or collaborators in respect of this statement.

G22.5 FINAL SUBMISSION

G22.5.1 Final Submission

The final submission is the finished submission after any amendments have been undertaken and the award has been conferred.

One copy must be permanently bound in its final form according to the format detailed in the University Academic Principles and Regulations for Research Awards. A further copy of the abstract, title and contents page is also required for the British Library records.

The final form must be such as to provide for a permanent record of any creative work considered for the award. This should be bound, where practicable, with the written component.

G22.6 THE EXAMINATION PROCESS

G22.6.1 The Examination Process

The examination for the degree of PhD by Existing Published Work is in two stages:

- The submission and preliminary assessment of the published work and accompanying synopsis
- Defence of the submission by oral examination

G22.6.2 Assessment of the Submission

In examining the candidate, the examiners must determine whether:

- The submission demonstrates that the candidate has produced work which is commensurate with the requirements for the PhD thesis in the chosen field.
- The submission demonstrates original research and independent critical thinking which has extended the forefront of knowledge in the discipline in question.
- The submission demonstrates that the candidate has made a systematic and coherent study within a single or closely related field(s) and has made a distinctive contribution to knowledge.
- The candidate has demonstrated an appropriate level of critical analysis and reflection on the research undertaken.

G22.6.3 Available Decisions

Following consideration of the written submission and the oral examination, the examiners may make one of the following decisions:

- The candidate receives the award.
- The candidate receives the award subject to minor amendments being made to the synopsis within a 1 month period.
- The candidate be permitted to re-submit for the award, and be re-examined on the synopsis only without the need for an oral examination.
- The candidate be permitted to re-submit for the award and be re-examined by oral examination only without the need to re-submit the synopsis.
- The candidate be permitted to re-submit for the award, and be re-examined on the synopsis with an oral examination. This recommendation may include advice to the candidate to include further published work in the portfolio.

G22.6.4 Additional Material in portfolio

Where the examiners consider that the overall submission would not meet the requirements for a PhD on account of the volume or weight of the published works themselves, the examiners may recommend that re-submission should include a further paper or chapter, which may already be published, or about to be published.

G22.6.5 Re-examination Outcomes

The examiners may make one of the following decisions:

- The candidate receives the award.
- The candidate receives the award subject to minor amendments being made to the synopsis within a 1 month period.
- That the candidate has not achieved the standard of the award

G22.6.6 Appeal

A candidate who has not been recommended for an award may lodge a request for an Appeal Hearing under the provisions of the University Academic Principles and Regulations, section C16. Appeals on the grounds of academic judgement are not permitted grounds of appeal under these regulations.

G23 HIGHER DOCTORATES

G23.1 HIGHER DOCTORATES: GENERAL PROVISIONS

G23.1.1 Award of Higher Doctorates

The University awards Higher Doctorates to those who have contributed works of high distinction.

G23.1.2 Consideration of Applications

The University Research and Enterprise Committee considers all applications for Higher Doctorates.

G23.2 APPLICANTS

G23.2.1 Applicants

Applications for a Higher Doctorate may normally be considered from persons fulfilling the requirements below.

G23.2.2 First Degree

Holders of at least seven years standing, of a first degree awarded by an institution of Higher Education in the United Kingdom or a qualification of equivalent standing.

G23.2.3 Higher Degree

Holders of at least four years standing, of a research degree by an institution of Higher Education in the United Kingdom or of a qualification of equivalent standing.

G23.3 APPLICATIONS

G23.3.1 Eligibility

The award is open to all members of staff contracted to our University, graduates of our University, former academic staff and honorary academics.

G23.3.2 Criteria for Consideration

Applicants are required to demonstrate that they have undertaken work of a high distinction, which has constituted an original and significant contribution to the advancement of knowledge, or its application, or both.

The application should demonstrate that the applicant is a leading authority in their field or area of study.

G23.3.3 Submission to the University

The applicant should submit three copies of the work on which the application is based to the University Research Office.

G23.3.4 Form of Submission

The submission may take the form of

- books
- contributions to journals
- patent specifications
- reports
- conference proceedings
- specification and design studies

The submission may also include other relevant evidence of original work.

G23.3.5 Presentation of Submission

The submission, other than books, may be in one, or more, hardback folders, or be bound.

G23.3.6 Title Page

Each book or folder shall contain a title page, which includes:

- the name of the applicant with designatory letters
- the subject/area of the bulk of the work
- the list of contents
- the name of the degree for which application is being made.

G23.3.7 Submission for any other Academic Award

The applicant is required to state which part of the submission, if any, has been submitted for another academic award.

G23.3.8 Applicant's statement

The applicant is required to provide three copies of the following:

(A) Nature and significance of the work

A statement of not more than 2,000 words, setting out the applicant's view as to the nature and significance of the work submitted and highlighting the progression of the work and of any inter-relationships.

(B) Personal contribution

A full statement of the extent of the applicant's contribution to the work(s) submitted and detailing joint authorship or other types of collaboration.

G23.3.9 English Language

The contents of the submission will be English.

G23.3.10 Fees

The applicant is responsible for the payment of fees for each stage of the assessment.

G23.4 ASSESSMENT OF THE SUBMISSION

G23.4.1 Process of Assessment

The assessment of the submission consists of two stages which are set out below.

G23.4.2 Assessment Panel within the University

Preliminary consideration of the submission is undertaken by an Assessment Panel established by the University Research and Enterprise Committee. The Assessment Panel is required to ascertain whether a prima facie case exists for proceeding to a formal examination of the submission.

The panel will comprise of four members, one of whom will be the Chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee. The Chair of the University Research and Enterprise Committee will act as the Chair of the Assessment Panel.

All members of the Assessment panel will have extensive research experience.

G23.4.3 External Examination

If the Assessment Panel determines that the submission be formally examined, consideration of the submission will be undertaken by two external examiners, wholly independent of the University and the applicant.

The examiners will be appointed by the University Research and Enterprise Committee of Academic Board.

Each examiner is required to make an independent report to the University Research and Enterprise Committee.

G23.4.4 Action in Case of Disagreement

In the case of disagreement between the examiners the University Research and Enterprise Committee may appoint a third examiner and will accept a majority decision.

G23.5 DECISION ON AN AWARD

G23.5.1 University Research and Enterprise Committee

The University Research and Enterprise Committee will make a decision on the report and recommendation(s) of the examiners in respect of the candidate.

G23.5.2 Confirmation of the Degree

The power to confirm the degree rests with the Academic Board of the University.